

ON A CONJECTURE OF DEMAILLY AND KOLLÁR

D.H. Phong[†] and Jacob Sturm[‡]

§ 1. Introduction

If f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of a compact set K in a complex manifold, define $c_K(f)$ to be the supremum of all real numbers c such that $|f|^{-2c}$ is integrable on some neighborhood of K .

In their recent paper [DK], Demailly and Kollár made the following remarkable conjectures.

Conjecture A: Fix the compact set K . For every non-zero holomorphic function f and for every compact set L containing K in its interior, there is a number $\alpha = \alpha(f, K, L) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_L |g - f| < \alpha \implies c_K(g) \geq c_K(f)$$

Conjecture B: Let

$$\mathcal{C}(n) = \{c_0(f) : f \text{ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin of } \mathbf{C}^n\}$$

Then $\mathcal{C}(n)$ satisfies the ascending chain condition: every convergent increasing sequence in $\mathcal{C}(n)$ is stationary.

A version of Conjecture B in algebraic geometry has been formulated earlier, starting with the 1992 work of Shokurov [Sh][K1-2]. This algebraic geometric version of Conjecture B has been established in dimension $n = 2$ by Shokurov in [Sh], and in dimension $n = 3$ by Alexeev in [A]. Related conjectures and results in algebraic geometry can be found in [K1-2]. The exponents $c_0(f)$ also play an important role in the study of the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics [CY][TY1-2][Si][T1-2] [Y1-2][DK].

In [DK], Demailly and Kollár had proved the following weaker version of Conjecture A: under the same conditions, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a number $\alpha(f, K, L, \epsilon)$ such that

$$\sup_L |g - f| < \alpha(f, K, L, \epsilon) \implies c_K(g) \geq c_K(f) - \epsilon \quad (A_\epsilon)$$

In dimension $n = 2$, this last statement had also been obtained in [T2] and in [PS]. The purpose of this short note is to show that the methods of [PS] can also give the following theorem:

Theorem. *Conjectures A and B hold when $n = 2$.*

It was already observed in [DK] that Conjecture A follows from Conjecture B combined with the weaker statement (A_ϵ) . We shall nevertheless give direct separate proofs for both

conjectures, since in our approach, the method of proof of Conjecture A is no different from that of (A_ϵ) . It will also emerge from our proof that x is a limit point of $\mathcal{C}(2)$ if and only if $x = 0$ or x is a number of the form $2/a$ where a is a positive integer.

§ 2. Proof of Conjecture A when $n = 2$

In [PS], an approach was developed for the study of integrals of $|f|^{-2c}$ in n variables, by iterating sharp estimates for one-dimensional integrals of the form

$$\int_{B_\Lambda} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I |P_i(z)|^\epsilon}{\sum_{j=1}^J |Q_j(z)|^\delta} dV \quad (2.0)$$

Here $P_i(z)$ and $Q_j(z)$ are polynomials in the variable $z \in \mathbf{C}$, ϵ and δ are non-negative real numbers, $dV = dx dy$ is the Euclidian measure on \mathbf{C} , and B_Λ is the open disk of radius Λ . A key result was that the finite-dimensional space of polynomials $P_i(z)$, $Q_j(z)$ admits a stratification into algebraic varieties, on each of which the *size* of the above integral is given by expressions of the form

$$\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{\hat{I}} |\hat{P}_i(B_1, \dots, B_M)|^2)^{\hat{\epsilon}}}{(\sum_{j=1}^{\hat{J}} |\hat{Q}_j(B_1, \dots, B_M)|^2)^{\hat{\delta}}}$$

where $\hat{P}_i(B_1, \dots, B_M)$ and $\hat{Q}_j(B_1, \dots, B_M)$ are polynomials in the coefficients B_1, \dots, B_M of the original polynomials $P_i(z)$ and $Q_j(z)$ [PS, Theorem 4].

In this note we require the special case of these formulas, when the integrand in (2.0) reduces to $|Q(z)|^{-\delta}$ with $Q(z)$ a polynomial of degree N , and δ is a real number in the range $2/N < \delta < 4/N$ such that $2/\delta$ is non-integral. In this case the formulas simplify substantially, and we have [PS, Theorems 2 and 3]

Proposition 1. *Fix a positive integer N . For every r in the range $1 \leq r \leq (N/2)$, there exist polynomials $D_{r,j} \in \mathbf{Z}[A_1, \dots, A_N]$, with $1 \leq j \leq h(r) = N!/(2r)!$ with the following property. If we let*

$$\Delta_r = \Delta_r(a_1, \dots, a_N) = \sum_{q=1}^{h(r)} |D_{r,q}(a_1, \dots, a_N)|^{1/h(r)!}$$

then for all real numbers $\delta \in (2/(N-r+1), 2/(N-r))$ and every positive real number Λ we have

$$\int_{B_\Lambda} \frac{1}{|Q(z)|^\delta} dV \sim \frac{1}{\Delta_{r+1}^{(N-r+1)\delta-2} \Delta_r^{2-(N-r)\delta}} \quad (2.1)$$

for all monic polynomials $Q(z) = \sum_{i=0}^N a_i z^{N-i}$ whose roots lie in $B_{\Lambda/2}$. If $\delta < 2/N$ then

$$\int_{B_\Lambda} \frac{1}{|Q(z)|^\delta} dV \sim \Lambda^{2-N\delta} \quad (2.2)$$

The implied constants in (2.1) and (2.2) depend on δ and Λ , but they are independent of the coefficients of Q .

We can establish now Conjecture A in 2 dimensions. It suffices to establish it when K is a single point 0. Let $f(z, w)$ be a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbf{C} and assume $f(0) = 0$, $f(z, w)$ is not identically zero. Let M be the order of vanishing of $f(z, w)$ at the origin, i.e., the lowest degree with a non-vanishing monomial in the Taylor expansion of $f(z, w)$ at 0. Then, after a suitable rotation of coordinates, the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem says that on some polydisk $U \times V$ centered at the origin, f can be factored as $f = u_f p_f$ where u_f is nowhere vanishing holomorphic function and

$$p_f(z, w) = w^M + a_1(z)w^{M-1} + \cdots + a_M(z) .$$

Here $a_i(z) = a_{i,f}(z)$ are holomorphic functions satisfying the condition

$$a_i(0) = 0 . \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, there is a polydisk $U' \times V' \subseteq U \times V$, centered at the origin, and an $\alpha > 0$ such that if g is holomorphic on $U \times V$ and if $\sup_{U \times V} |g - f| < \alpha$, then g can be factored as $g = u_g p_g$ on $U' \times V'$ where u_g is a holomorphic function such that $|u_g|$ is bounded below by a positive constant, and

$$p_g(z, w) = w^M + a_{1,g}(z)w^{M-1} + \cdots + a_{M,g}(z)$$

Here the $a_{i,g}$ are holomorphic on V' , although they may no longer satisfy (2.3). The map $g \rightarrow a_{i,g}$ (*resp.* $g \rightarrow u_g$) is continuous with respect to the sup norm metrics on $U \times V$ and V' (*resp.* $U \times V$ and $U' \times V'$). Thus we can choose α and U' sufficiently small so that $\|g - f\| = \sup_{U \times V} |g - f| < \alpha$ implies that $u_g u_f^{-1} \sim 1$ and for every $z \in U'$, all the roots of $p_g(z, w) = 0$ are in $\frac{1}{2}V'$ (see e.g. Lemma 5.2 in [PS]).

Let $N = 2M$ and define $b_{i,g}(z)$ by the formula

$$p_g(z, w)^2 = \sum_{i=0}^N b_{i,g}(z) w^{N-i}$$

For every r in the range $1 \leq r \leq M$, and for every holomorphic function g satisfying $\|g - f\| < \alpha$, let $F_{r,g}(z) = \Delta_r(b_{1,g}, \dots, b_{N,g})$, and let $n(r, g)$ be the unique real number such that

$$|z|^{-n(r,g)} F_{r,g}(z)$$

is continuous and non-vanishing at the origin. Proposition 1 implies that

$$n(r, g)(N!) \in \mathbf{Z} . \tag{2.4}$$

By shrinking α and U' even further, we may assume (using, for example, the winding number principle) that for all g satisfying $\|g - f\| < \alpha$, and for all r , we have $n(r, g) \leq n(r, f)$.

Let

$$\Sigma(f) = \{c \in \mathbf{R} : |f|^{-2c} \text{ is integrable on some neighborhood of the origin}\}.$$

It is well-known that $\Sigma(f)$ is an open set (using for example Hironaka's theorem on resolution of singularities), and thus $\Sigma(f) = (-\infty, c_0(f))$. We must prove that if $\|g - f\| < \alpha$, then $\delta \in \Sigma(f)$ implies $\delta < c_0(g)$. In fact, if T is at most a countably infinite set of real numbers, it suffices to prove that $\delta \in \Sigma(f) \setminus T$ implies $\delta < c_0(g)$.

Lemma 5.1 of [PS] guarantees that $\Sigma(f) \subseteq (0, 4/N)$. Choose $\delta \in \Sigma(f) \setminus T$ where T is the set of δ in $\Sigma(f)$ such that $2/\delta$ is an integer.

Since u_f is nowhere vanishing, we have

$$\int_{V'} \frac{1}{|g(z, w)|^{2\delta}} dV(w) \sim \int_{V'} \frac{1}{|p_g^2|^\delta} dV(w) \quad (2.5)$$

where the implied constant depends on f and δ , but not on g .

If $\delta < 2/N$, then (2.2) implies that (2.5) is finite, so that $\delta < c_0(g)$.

If $\delta > 2/N$, choose r , $1 \leq r \leq M$, such that $\delta \in (2/(N - r + 1), 2/(N - r))$. Then applying Proposition 1 to the right hand side of (2.5), we obtain, for every $z \in U'$,

$$\int_{V'} \frac{1}{|g(z, w)|^{2\delta}} dV(w) \sim \frac{1}{F_{r+1, g}(z)^{(N-r+1)\delta-2} F_{r, g}(z)^{2-(N-r)\delta}}$$

Thus we see that $\delta \in \Sigma(g)$ if and only if

$$n(r+1, g)((N-r+1)\delta-2) + n(r, g)(2-(N-r)\delta) < 2. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\delta \in \Sigma(f)$, (2.6) holds when $g = f$. But $n(k, g) \leq n(k, f)$ for all k . Thus $\delta \in \Sigma(g)$.

§ 3. Proof of Conjecture B when $n = 2$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(2)$. It suffices to show that for every $r > 0$, the set $\{c \in \mathcal{C} : c \geq r\}$ satisfies the ascending chain condition.

If f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, and if $f(0) = 0$, then $\Sigma(f) \subseteq (0, 4)$. Moreover, if f is a Weierstrass polynomial of degree M , i.e., an expression of the form $w^M + a_1(z)w^{M-1} + \dots + a_M(z)$, then $c_0(f) < 2/M$. Since $2/M < r$ for M sufficiently large, it suffices to prove that for each $M > 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}(M) = \{c_0(f) : f \text{ is a Weierstrass polynomial of degree } M\} \quad (3.1)$$

satisfies the ascending chain condition. Thus we fix $M > 0$ and let $N = 2M$. We have shown that $\mathcal{C}(M) \subseteq [1/M, 2/M]$. It therefore suffices to show that for every integer r such that $1 \leq r \leq M$, the set $\mathcal{C}(M) \cap [2/(N - r + 1), 2/(N - r)]$ satisfies the ascending chain condition (since $[2/N, 4/N]$ is a union of such intervals).

Thus we fix r such that $1 \leq r \leq M$, and we let $\delta \in (2/(N - r + 1), 2/(N - r))$. Let f be a Weierstrass polynomial of degree M . It follows from (2.6) that if c is a positive real number, then $c \in \mathcal{C} \cap (2/(N - r + 1), 2/(N - r))$ if and only if

$$n(r+1)((N-r+1)c-2) + n(r)(2-(N-r)c) = 2$$

$$\text{and } c \in \left(\frac{2}{(N-r+1)}, \frac{2}{(N-r)} \right) \quad (3.2)$$

where we have denoted $n(r, f)$ by $n(r)$ for simplicity. Now (3.2) is equivalent to, via simple algebraic manipulations,

$$c = 2 \frac{n(r+1) - n(r) + 1}{n(r+1)(N-r+1) - n(r)(N-r)} \text{ and}$$

$$n(r+1) > N-r \text{ and } n(r) < N-r+1 \quad (3.3)$$

The fact that $n(r) < N - r + 1$, together with (2.4) tells us that there are only finitely many possibilities for $n(r)$. For each such choice of $n(r)$, there may be infinitely many possibilities for the value of $n(r + 1)$, but these possibilities must also satisfy (2.4). In particular, the possible values of $n(r + 1)$ form a subset of

$$X = \{n \in \mathbf{Q} : n(N!)! \in \mathbf{Z}, n > N - r\} .$$

Now fix $n(r)$ and let $n(r + 1)$ range over X in equation (3.3). The key observation is that as $m \in X$ tend towards infinity, the values of c form a *decreasing* sequence, converging to $2/(N - r + 1)$. This proves Conjecture *B*, in the case $n = 2$. It also shows that the limit points of $\mathcal{C}(2)$ are either 0, or rational numbers of the form $2/a$ with a a positive integer. In other words, the limit set of $\mathcal{C}(2)$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}(1)$. In fact, it is equal to $\mathcal{C}(1)$, as we shall see in the next section*.

§ 4. The limit set of $\mathcal{C}(n)$

It follows immediately from the definitions that $\mathcal{C}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(n + 1)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2. If $c \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ then $c = \lim x_m$, where $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathcal{C}(n + 1)$ forms a strictly decreasing sequence.

Proof. Let f be holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin of \mathbf{C}^n such that $c_0(f) = c$. Let m be a sufficiently large positive integer and let $g_m(z_1, \dots, z_n, w) = w^m - f$. Then

* In dimension $n = 2$, the exponents $c_0(f)$ were determined by Igusa [I] to be of the form $c_0(f) = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$, $m, n \in \mathbf{N}^*$, for irreducible power series $f \in \mathbf{C}[[x, y]]$. But for a general holomorphic function f in two variables, they can be more complicated, as we just saw. For example, for $f(x, y) = y(y^2 + x^{89})$, the exponent $c_0(f)$ is given by $c_0(f) = \frac{91}{267}$, which cannot be expressed as $\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}$ for any integers m, n .

$$\int_B \frac{1}{|w^m - f|^{2\delta}} dV(w) \sim \frac{1}{|f|^{2(\delta-1/m)}}$$

Thus $c_0(g_m) = c + \frac{1}{m}$.

Remarks.

1. The same proofs apply in dimension two to give the analogues of Conjectures *A* and *B* in the real-analytic setting, using the results in [PSS]. In dimensions 3 and higher, it is known that even the weaker conjecture A_ϵ is not true, due to a counterexample of Varchenko.

2. It is tempting to speculate that $\mathcal{C}(n)$ is exactly the limit set of $\mathcal{C}(n + 1)$. This was also suggested earlier by Kollár, who also formulated Proposition 2 in [K2].

3. More specifically, it is likely that the structure of $\mathcal{C}(n + 1)$ is determined inductively by that of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ in the manner suggested above:

$$\mathcal{C}(n + 1) = \mathcal{C}(n) \cup \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}(n), 1 \leq m < \infty} x(c, m)$$

where for each c , the $x(c, m)$ forms a strictly decreasing sequence such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} x(c, m) = c \ .$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor J. Kollár for informing them about the works of Shokurov, Alexeev, and Igusa, and for providing them with the references [S], [A], [I], and [K1]. They would also like to thank Professor S.T. Yau for his encouragement and for providing them with references on Kähler-Einstein metrics.

REFERENCES

[A] Alexeev, V.A., “Two-dimensional terminations”, *Duke Math. J.* **69** (1993) 527-545.
 [CY] Cheng, S.Y. and S.T. Yau, “Inequality between Chern numbers of singular Kähler surfaces and characterization of orbit space of discrete group of $SU(2, 1)$ ”, *Contemporary Math.* **49** (1986) 31-44.
 [DK] Demailly, J.P. and J. Kollár, “Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds”, arXiv:math.AG/9910118.

- [I] Igusa, J.I., “On the first terms of certain asymptotic expansions”, *Complex and Algebraic Geometry*, Iwanami Shoten **1977** 357-368.
- [K1] Kollár, J. (with 14 coauthors), *Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds*, **211** (1992) Astérisque.
- [K2] Kollár, J., “Singularities of pairs”, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **62** (1997) 221-285, American Mathematical Society.
- [PS] Phong, D.H. and J. Sturm, “Algebraic estimates, stability of local zeta functions, and uniform estimates for distribution functions”, January 1999 preprint, to appear in Ann. of Math.
- [PSS] Phong, D.H., E.M. Stein, and J. Sturm, “On the growth and stability of real-analytic functions”, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999) 519-554.
- [Sh] Shokurov, V., “3-fold log flips”, Isz. Russ. A. N. Ser. Mat. **56** (1992) 105-203.
- [Si] Siu, Y.T., “The existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on manifolds with positive anticanonical bundle and a suitable finite symmetry group”, Ann. of Math. **127** (1987) 585-627.
- [T1] Tian, G., “On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$ ”, Inventiones Math. **89** (1987) 225-246.
- [T2] Tian, G., “On Calabi’s conjecture for complex surfaces with positive first Chern class”, Inventiones Math. **101** (1992) 101-172.
- [TY1] Tian, G. and S.T. Yau, “Existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics on complete Kähler manifolds and their applications to algebraic geometry”, *Mathematical aspects of String Theory*, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. **1** (1986) 574-628, World Scientific Publ., Singapore.
- [TY2] Tian, G. and S.T. Yau, “Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature II”, Inventiones Math. **106** (1991) 27-60.
- [Y1] Yau, S.T., “Open problems in geometry”, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **54** (1993) 1-28.
- [Y2] Yau, S.T., “Review of Kähler-Einstein metrics in algebraic geometry”, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings **9** (1996) 433-443.

† Department of Mathematics, Columbia University
 New York, NY 10027
 email: phong@math.columbia.edu

‡ Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University
 Newark, NJ 08903
 email: sturm@andromeda.rutgers.edu